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Dear Colleagues,

The idea of creating an international journal in English and Russian languages has proved to be popular. The editors have received many positive reviews from Russian and foreign colleagues, who are interested in publishing articles in the journal.

The second issue includes seven articles from different countries and focuses on the following aspects of cardiovascular disease: the value of endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene polymorphism in the development of coronary artery disease; the relationship between physical activity and cardiovascular risk; assessment of cardiovascular risk in the population of a large cities in Ukraine; an expert’s opinion on new US cardiovascular prevention guidelines; echocardiographic predictors of preservation of left ventricular function after surgery; and a clinical case of infectious myocarditis.

The leading article presents results from a stroke register that evaluated therapy received by patients, and its impact on their long-term prognosis.

We hope that the contents of this second issue will be useful for readers and welcome your comments, suggestions and articles.

Yours sincerely,

Rafael G. Oganov
President, Cardioprogress Foundation
Editor-in-Chief
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Aim

Research of social, demographic and anamnestic characteristics of patients that have survived cerebral stroke as well as the medical treatment received by the patients before the reference stroke in the hospital and at discharge within the framework of the stroke register entitled as LIS-2 (Lubertsy study of mortality in patients who have survived stroke).

Material and methods

All the patients (637 people) admitted to the Lyubertsy District Hospital № 2 due to stroke from January 2009 to December 2010 were enrolled into the study.

Results

36% were men and 64% were women with mean age of 70.99±9.6 years old. 554 (87.0%) patients had history of hypertension and 155 (24.3%) a history of atrial fibrillation. 147 (23.1%) patients had previous stroke. Hospital mortality was 21.8% (139 patients died with mean age of 72.7±9.6 years old). At discharge, 374 (75%) patients were prescribed ACE inhibitors, 421 (85%) antiplatelet agents, 4 (1%) warfarin. Statin treatment was recommended to 3 (1%) patients.

Conclusion

We revealed low frequency of prescription of drugs with proven effects on prognosis in patients with risk factors before the reference stroke and in patients discharged from the hospital after stroke.
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Cerebral stroke is the leading cause of mortality in a majority of developed countries [1]. Patients who survive an acute period of stroke are at high risk of recurrent strokes and have a poor life prognosis [2,3]. However, evidence-based data clearly testify that some concrete medical preparations can significantly improve this prognosis [4].

Cerebral stroke risk factors in general coincide with other cardiovascular disease risk factors, firstly with those of coronary artery disease (CAD). Stroke pathogenesis, especially of its most prevalent type – ischemic stroke [cerebral infarction] due to atherothrombosis, is similar to that one of myocardial infarction (MI) [5,6].

This apparently determines similarity of approaches to the primary and secondary stroke and CAD prevention. It is not surprising that the principal drug groups that have demonstrated their effectiveness in secondary stroke prevention to a great extent coincide with medications used for the secondary CAD prevention. First of all these drugs are antiplatelet, antihypertensive and hypolipidemic agents.

Different clinical guidelines present the basic principles for primary and secondary stroke prevention; among them the guidelines promulgated conjointly by the American Heart Association and American Stroke Association are of special interest [7,8]. It is well known that real clinical practice does not always follow modern clinical guidelines. For example, the large-scale international epidemiological study PURE [Prospective Urban and Rural Epidemiological] study revealed that a majority of patients surviving stroke do not receive therapy that could really extend their life [9]. Respectively, life prognosis of patients in conditions of real clinical practice can significantly differ from the one registered in large-scale controlled trials.

All these impose a necessity of evaluation of real stroke patients‘ care situation, determination of their life prognosis in conditions of such treatment as well as main factors affecting it. Development of a register, providing evaluation of received treatment quality and patients survival rate during more or less long time period, is known to be the best way of overcoming this problem.

There were a number of cerebral stroke registers established in our country, however, almost all of them were organized in accordance with a similar protocol and were aimed at evaluation of stroke morbidity, its risk factors and in-hospital mortality [10–14]. Efforts to estimate long-term outcomes of a treatment were non-systemized and did not meet the requirements of modern research in survival rate evaluation [13]. Estimation of risk factors influencing mortality rate was not performed within a framework of the above mentioned registers.

The main objective of our cerebral stroke register, called LIS-2 [study of mortality among patients survived cerebral stroke in Lyubertsy district], was the assessment of actual therapy received by the patients and its influence on long-term disease outcomes. This article presents the design of the study, characteristics of the patients enrolled into it, and the treatment prescribed before the reference stroke during hospitalization and after discharge.

Materials and methods
The LIS-2 study is a register of patients admitted to the Lyubertsy District Hospital № 2 [LDH № 2] for cerebral stroke or transient ischemic attack (TIA) in 2009–2011.

All the consecutive patients admitted to the LDH № 2 for stroke [ischemic or hemorrhagic] or TIA from 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2011 were enrolled into the register. Those in whom diagnosis of stroke or TIA at admission was not confirmed were not included.

Stroke was diagnosed on the grounds of typical clinical features and specific neurological signs. Such methods of the brain visualization as computer tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were carried out in singular cases in 2009–2010 due to technical capability of the hospital. The patients were examined in accordance with the current health economic standards of medical care. A stroke, a patient was admitted for, was regarded as the reference stroke. Data received at case history analysis concerning patient’s history and status at hospitalization, treatment tactics and medications prescribed at discharge from hospital were entered onto a special standardized chart and then in an electronic database.

Prospective part of the study designated for discharged patients consists of several stages. At the first stage telephone contact with a patient or his relatives is obtained. In cases of lethal outcome after discharge from hospital, the cause of death is determined as precisely as possible. At the second stage patients are invited for the control examination, laboratory assays [blood count, lipid profile analysis, electrocardiogram (ECG)] and completion of questionnaires. If a patient can not attend a doctor by himself, a general practitioner visits him at home, registers ECG and lipid profile indices by a rapid test method using the CardioCheck analyzer; all received data are
filled in the standardized chart and the electronic database.

This article presents analyzed data from medical records of the patients admitted to hospital from 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2010.

**Results**

A total of 637 patients [230 (36.0%) men and 407 (64.0%) women] were admitted to the LDH № 2 for stroke or TIA from 01.01.2009 to 31.12.2010. Ischemic stroke was diagnosed in 558 (87.6%) patients, TIA in 55 (8.6%) and hemorrhagic stroke in 24 (3.8%) patients.

Mean age was 71.0±9.6 years old, youngest age was 25 and oldest 99 years (Figure 1). It is important to note that primarily patients above 60 years old were hospitalized due to stroke in 2009-2010. 567 (89.0%) patients were retirees and 207 (32.5%) were disabled.

We analyzed history of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and their risk factors in our patients (Table 1). According to medical records data 84 (13.2%) patients were smoking; 70 (11.0%) abused alcohol; and 63 (9.9%) were previously diagnosed with hyperlipidemia, with the total cholesterol level during hospitalization higher than 4.5 mmol/L in 329 (52.9%) patients. 120 (18.8%) patients had obesity; 142 (22.3%) were overweight; the weight of 100 (15.7%) patients was normal; and, in 275 (43%) cases, anthropometric indices were not completely indicated. 554 (87%) patients had a history of arterial hypertension; 155 (24.3%) a history of atrial fibrillation (AF), with 117 patients (75.4% of all the AF patients) having permanent AF, 27 (17.4%) paroxysmal AF, 2 (1.3%) persistent AF, and 9 (5.8%) paroxysm of unknown duration. 80 (12.6%) had previous MI; 4 (0.5%) patients had undergone percutaneous coronary intervention with stent placement, with a similar number of patients having had coronary artery bypass surgery. 137 (21.5%) patients had diabetes mellitus type 2. The reference stroke was a recurrent one in 147 (23.1%) patients. 13 (2.0%) patients had a history of TIA.

In-hospital mortality was 21.8% [n=139; mean age 72.7±9.6 years old; 43 (30.9%) men and 96 (69.1%) women], 498 (78.2%) patients were discharged for out-patient follow-up.

129 (92.8%) patients of all the deceased were retired persons, 47 (33.8%) were disabled. 109 (78.4%) deceased persons had hypertension, 50 (36.0%) had AF, 16 (11.5%) had previous MI, and 32 (23.0%) had diabetes (Table 2). The reference stroke was the recurrent one in 35 (25.2%) deceased patients.

Estimation of medical treatment received by the patients before the reference stroke, in hospital and therapy prescribed at discharge

Estimation of the treatment before the reference stroke revealed that 265 (41.6%) patients received antihypertensive therapy as follows: angiotensin-converting-enzyme (ACE) inhibitors in 195 (74%) patients, β-blockers in 68 (25.7%), and calcium channel blockers in 53 (8.3%) patients. 43 (6.8%) patients were prescribed antiplatelet agents, 4 (0.6%) patients (or 2.6% of 155 patients with AF history) warfarin. 6 (0.9%) patients used anti-cholesterol drugs.

The most frequently prescribed drugs in hospital were: cinnarizine in 444 (69.7%) patients, gamma-
aminobutyric acid (Aminalon) in 438 (68.8%), ACE inhibitors in 432 (67.8%), acetyl salicylic acid in 392 (61.5%), and papaverine in 347 (54.5%) patients. 4 (0.6%) patients received warfarin. Statins were not administrated at all.

We also analysed recommendations for discharged patients (n=498). ACE inhibitors were recommended to 374 (75.1%) patients and calcium channel blockers as an antihypertensive drug to 10 (2.0%) patients. The most frequently prescribed diuretic was indapamide (n=125; 25.1%). Antiplatelet agents (acetyl salicylic acid) were prescribed to 421 (84.5%) patients, warfarin to 4 (1%) patients. 3 (0.6%) patients were recommended statins. Such medications as vinpocetine and piracetam were prescribed more often (n=346; 69.6% and n=300; 60.2%, respectively).

Discussion

The LIS-2 register is a limited register, key factor of which is the diagnosis of stroke or TIA in patients admitted to the neurology unit of a municipal hospital. This register has a number of limitations due to difficulties in diagnosis verification, because such methods as CT or MRI were used in singular cases; besides, patients with stroke or TIA predominantly admitted to the hospital were of elderly age (above 60 years old). Due to difficulties in diagnosis verification, and taking into account similar approach to primary and secondary stroke and TIA prevention, we included in the register both patients with diagnosis of TIA and stroke.

A lot of publications and discussions are devoted to the problem of implementation of evidence-based recommendations in clinical practice [15–17]. Primarily the problem is of current interest in terms of secondary stroke prevention, what has been demonstrated in a number of trials including the above mentioned international epidemiological PURE study [9].

The reasons for this are various and include clinical inertness, presence of controversial data, incompatibility of clinical guidelines made for different nosologies [17–18]. Perhaps, in case of stroke, one such reason is absence of evident clinical effect of drugs that proved their positive effect on a patients’ life prognosis.

Numerous stroke registers organized in Russia almost did not concern the problem of prescribing medications with proven effect. The first results of the LIS-2 study have demonstrated rather low frequency of the prescription of the main drug groups with proven positive influence on patients’ life prognosis. It should be noted that the frequency of using different groups of drugs varied significantly: so, while antiplatelet agents and ACE inhibitors / angiotensin receptor blockers were recommended to the majority of patients at their discharge from hospital (84.5 and 75.1%, respectively), such medicines as statins and anticoagulants were in fact prescribed almost to no one. It should be mentioned that according to re-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Clinical and anamnestic characteristics of the patients (n=637)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical and anamnestic risk factors</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%) / Курение, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous transient ischemic attack, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Comparative analysis of survived and deceased in-hospital patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age, years / Средний возраст, лет</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke risk factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol abuse, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrial fibrillation, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of CVD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stroke, n (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous myocardial infarction, n (%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cent guidelines, statins are indicated for all patients surviving ischemic stroke and indirect anticoagulants (if not contraindicated) for all patients with AF, who made according to LIS-2 data about 24.3%.

We only estimated drugs prescription in hospital and at discharge according to nothing else but medical documentation data. In the following actual medical treatment of the survived patients is to be assessed with the help of special questionnaires at repeated visits, which will provide significantly more objective estimation of the treatment quality.

There is one more problem of implementation of evidence-based recommendations in clinical practice. It is known that randomized controlled trials (RCT), on which recent clinical guidelines are based, are carried out on accurately selected groups of patients. Such patients not always conform to typical patients with variety of concomitant diseases and often extremely older (these patients are oftentimes excluded from studies). So, it is disputable if drugs that have proven their positive effect in an RCT would similarly act in real practice. Modern registers technically allow estimation of a drug’s influence on disease outcomes, as it was demonstrated in the similar by its design LIS study that included patients survived acute MI [19–21]. We hope that the LIS-2 study will also let estimate effect of some drugs on long-term outcomes of the disease.

Conclusion

The register of patients with cerebral stroke was created in Lyubertsy district (Moscow Region). Data from the register show that drug therapy used in secondary prevention of cerebral stroke does not conform well to current clinical guidelines. Monitoring of a disease’s long-term outcomes in the register will identify the key factors that determine long-term prognosis for life and in particular the role of drug therapy.
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In November 2013, immediately before the American Heart Association (AHA) Meeting in Dallas, joint recommendations of AHA and American College of Cardiology (ACC) were presented. The National Institute of Health (NHLBI) also took a crucial part in preparation of the new guidelines. The new recommendations excited the public’s interest even before the AHA meeting, were controversially and extensively discussed in the press (including newspapers such as the New York Times), and became the main topic of the meeting.

New recommendations for cardiovascular prevention are actually a composite of four documents:

• Recommendations for the treatment of obesity and overweight (this is the first time that obesity and overweight are perceived as a disease requiring treatment and are directly incorporated into cardiovascular prevention).

• Recommendations for a healthy lifestyle, including both diet and increasing physical activity. Well-known dietary recommendations are now entrenched primarily to reduce the sodium content in the diet (at 1.5g/day) but I would argue that more attention is paid to physical activity even though 40 minutes of aerobic activity (fast walking – highly recommended) 3–4 times a week would be sufficient to reduce the cardiovascular risk for the majority of the population.

• Recommendations for the treatment of cholesterol [also including non-high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol] are closely linked to the risk calculator (see below). Perhaps the most revolutionary innovation is a practical retreat omission target of treatment algorithm.

• The last, but probably the most important and indeed the latest recommendation is “recommendations for the calculation of cardiovascular risk”. This recommendation is based on a completely new risk calculator based on the latest results of population studies. In addition to traditional risk factors such as cholesterol, HDL cholesterol, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, age or sex, the riskiness of African-American origin is emphasised. The calculator calculates the risk of a cardiovascular event in the next 10 years. If the risk is 7.5% or higher the patient is “indicated” for treatment.

This criterion of 7.5% was the main source of criticism in the media, which emphasized that more than 30 million Americans may be treated unnecessarily with statins. Even some prominent American physi-
New USA recommendation for cardiovascular prevention

New American guidelines on cardiovascular prevention are quite new. We will see how they apply in practice. Even though the American approaches are quite different from the European, we will definitely gain from the new guidelines as well.

1. Guidelines in the case of primary prevention and the risk calculated at 7.5% do not represent an imperatives to initiate drug therapy with statins. This is the start of a dialogue between a patient and a physician. It also initiates the judgement of the individual at risk especially with regard to family issues (it was repeatedly emphasized at the Meeting as a decisive factor). Is this then the way to more personalized medicine?

2. The authors argue that in a country where a third of the population die from cardiovascular disease (CVD) and 60% will experience a cardiovascular event during their lifetime, is probably not a mistake to treat 30 million people with statins, which have such corroborative data like no other medication.

Secondary prevention, the presence of diabetes mellitus type 2 or 1 and a significant hypercholesterolemia, familial hypercholesterolemia are considered to bear an unquestionable risk.

I followed the Guidelines in printed form, in discussions in professional journals and in newspapers, especially at the AHA Meeting. Even the last day of the Meeting Plenary was totally crowded (several thousand participants) which indicates a great interest of doctors who discussed specific cases with guidelines. And it was interesting that even the authors of the guidelines were not dogmatic, they did not insist on a precise recommendation and tried to individualize the procedure. Does this mean that we approach personalized medicine?

New American guidelines on cardiovascular prevention are quite new. We will see how they apply in practice. Even though the American approaches are quite different from the European, we will definitely gain from the new guidelines as well.

For information, see the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) statement in Appendix 1.

Appendix 1

New guidelines in USA: “2013 ACC/AHA Guidelines on the Treatment of Blood Cholesterol to Reduce Atherosclerotic Cardiovascular Risk”. How do they compare with the EAS/ESC Guidelines for the management of dyslipidaemia?

The AHA and ACC recently released three documents dealing with guidelines for the prevention of CVD: document on lifestyle management, on the assessment of cardiovascular risk and on “The treatment of blood cholesterol to reduce atherosclerotic cardiovascular risk in adults”. It is welcomed that an updated version on the treatment of cholesterol is now available for the USA. In line with the document released by EAS and ESC in 2011 for the management of dyslipidaemias the AHA/ACC document emphasizes the importance of low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol reduction in cardiovascular prevention, in both the primary and the secondary prevention of CVD. In both the European and in the AHA/ACC guidelines the importance of risk stratification is emphasized. In the new US document four groups are identified that could benefit from statin treatment: individuals 1) with clinical atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease (ASCVD), 2) with primary elevations of LDL cholesterol above 4.9 mmol/L (190 mg/dL), 3) with diabetes aged 40-75 with LDL cholesterol 1.8–4.9 mmol/L (70–189 mg/dL) without clinical ASCVD, 4) without clinical ASCVD or diabetes with LDL cholesterol 1.8–4.9 mmol/L and estimated 10-year ASCVD risk >7.5%. In the EAS/ESC guidelines risk stratification results in four groups of total cardiovascular risk: very high, high, moderate and low risk. Prevention is adapted according to the total cardiovascular risk estimation. In the European guidelines it is recommended to consider drug treatment of LDL cholesterol in the setting of primary prevention when total cardiovascular risk is high, or very high and/or in those with a moderate risk if LDL cholesterol >100 mg/dL despite lifestyle changes. In the new ACC/AHA guidelines statin treatment is recommended for primary prevention in subjects with a risk of ASCVD event of 7.5%, irrespective of LDL cholesterol level, which would correspond to a 2.5% risk for CVD death in 10 years according to the Systematic Coronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) model. The impact of the ACC/AHA strategy should be put into the perspective of a much larger number of subjects in the population that would be eligible for lifelong statin treatment from the age of 40 years onwards. The potential side effects should be considered, if such a large fraction of the population is put on statin treatment.

In the ACC/AHA guidelines the use of a new risk estimation model is recommended for estimating the total CVD risk (Pool cohorts’ equations) has been developed. From the available documents it cannot be evaluated how this would work in relation to the European SCORE model. When using such models it is essential that the population from which the model is derived should be as similar as possible to the population that is seen by the clinicians. For the
European population we therefore prefer to continue using the SCORE charts or national charts calibrated on SCORE.

The approach to the treatment of the risk groups is in the ACC/AHA guidelines only identified as two options: high intensity or moderate intensity statin treatment (the final choice of strategy is often left to the doctor’s clinical judgment). No treatment goals in mmol/L of LDL cholesterol are suggested, although the option of having treatment goals is accepted. It can certainly be argued that treatment goals are arbitrary and often based on extrapolations from available data, but also on an evaluation of a larger pool of knowledge and science in the field. Treatment goals are widely used in different clinical settings, such as for the treatment of hypertension or type 2 diabetes. Targets are in daily practice most important in working with patient to doctor communications and optimizing compliance. Furthermore risk reduction in general should be individualized for each patient, and this can be more appropriate if targets are defined. The simplistic approach of limiting the current knowledge on cardiovascular prevention only to criteria used in randomized controlled trials may limit the exploitation of the potential that is available for CVD prevention when a wider scientific basis is taken into account.

In monitoring statin therapy the ACC/AHA guidelines suggest that an expected 50% reduction of LDL cholesterol on intense statin treatment should be used as an adherence control; in high risk patients this may also be a reason to increase dose or consider additional therapy. This is left to the doctors’ clinical judgment. Also in the EAS/ESC guidelines a 50% reduction from baseline level target is suggested as an optional target in those at very high total risk if the LDL cholesterol target of <1.8 mmol/L (70 mg/dL) cannot be reached.

When comparing these guidelines it should be considered that the EAS/ESC guidelines had a broader approach on dyslipidaemia in general, while the ACC/AHA guidelines have is focused on statin treatment in cardiovascular prevention. Therefore, in the EAS/ESC guidelines, special groups such as familial hypercholesterolemia, stroke patients, combined hyperlipidaemia and diabetes are discussed more in detail. The EAS/ESC guidelines also include a more in depth discussion and options on other drug treatments than statins.

The European guidelines have worked well in Europe, they have been widely accepted and adopted, and based on the discussion above we recommend the EAS/ESC guidelines as best fitted for Europe. There are differences in approaches to cholesterol lowering between the guidelines, which however should not obscure the common ground in emphasizing the importance of LDL cholesterol lowering in cardiovascular prevention and a very similar view on which high risk groups that should be the target for drug treatment. Examples of similarities and differences in drug therapy between the two guidelines are given in table 1.

### Table 1. Examples of similarities and differences in drug therapy between the EAS/ESC and AHA/ACC guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Secondary prevention</th>
<th>Statin intolerance in secondary prevention</th>
<th>Primary prevention LDL&gt;4.9 mmol/L</th>
<th>Primary prevention in diabetes</th>
<th>Primary prevention High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EAS/ESC</td>
<td>Target LDL cholesterol&lt;1.8 mmol/L OR at least 50% reduction. If target cannot be reached with statin, drug combination may be considered.</td>
<td>Reduce statin dose, consider combination therapy.</td>
<td>Target LDL cholesterol&lt;2.5 mmol/L. If target cannot be reached maximal reduction of LDL cholesterol, using appropriate drug combinations in tolerated doses.</td>
<td>Diabetes with other risk factors or organ damage: Target LDL cholesterol&lt;1.8 mmol/L or at least 50% reduction. Uncomplicated diabetes: Target LDL 2.5 mmol/L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHA/ACC</td>
<td>High-intensity statin. If 50% reduction is not reached drug combination may be considered.</td>
<td>Moderate or low dose statin, consider combination therapy.</td>
<td>High-intensity statin therapy, at least 50% reduction of LDL cholesterol, if not 50% reduction consider additional therapy.</td>
<td>Diabetes with high risk; High-intensity statin therapy. Diabetes with low risk; Moderate intense statin.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract

Aim
To conduct a large-scale study of cardiovascular risk in an urban population in Ukraine following current recommendations of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC).

Materials and Methods
The study protocol included identification and assessment of 20 cardiovascular risk factors in an urban population of Dnepropetrovsk (Ukraine), involving 1,000 respondents (468 men and 532 women) living in five districts of Dnepropetrovsk, aged 30-69 years. It also included determination of the prevalence of very high risk using all variations of the Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) scale, recommended by the ESC.

Results
According to the results of this Ukrainian–Russian study, conducted between 2009 and 2013, cardiovascular risk factors were identified which can be merged into three main groups according to prevalence among the adult population.

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: +380442498810. E-mail: cardiomfbigmir.net
** The Working Group of the Ukraine-Russia study of 20 risk factors in Dnepropetrovsk:

The most prevalent group of risk factors in this population, found in approximately 70% of cases, in descending order, were: abdominal obesity (by ESC criteria); overweight and obesity (by BMI); hypercholesterolemia and increased low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol.

The second most common group of risk factors, found in approximately 40–45% of the cases, in descending order, were: abdominal obesity (by the criteria of the Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III (2001); hypertension; hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance (IR) by the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index.

The third most common risk factors, found in up to 30% of the population, in descending order, were: hypertriglyceridemia; impaired glucose tolerance (IGT); smoking; decreased HDL cholesterol; hyperuricemia and diabetes.

Conclusion
According to results of the analysis on the prevalence of risk factors and calculations of cardiovascular risk in urban population in Ukraine, using recommendations of the ESC (2012) and all three versions of the SCORE risk scale, a prevalence of very high risk involving cardiovascular complications was found in 30% of the adult population. These findings should serve as a basis for further multicenter epidemiological studies and prompt long-term prevention programmes.
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According to the World Health Organization (WHO), cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the main cause of death worldwide. The latest estimates have shown that in 2008 17.3 million people died from CVD and, of that number, 7.3 million people died from coronary (ischemic) heart disease and 6.2 million died from stroke. This problem mostly affects low-income and middle-income countries and it is predicted that by 2030 global mortality from CVD will reach 23.3 million people per year [9].

The peculiarity of the European Region is that, along with a decrease of overall mortality in recent years, which in 2010 reached an age-standardized mortality rate of 813 per 100,000 of population, there are still wide differences between countries. For example, according to WHO, the age-specific structure in 15 countries that joined the European Union (EU) before 2004 is characterized by an increase in mortality in older age groups by two times, and in 12 other countries that joined the EU after May 2004 and in the CIS countries, it increased by more than three times. On average about 50% of all deaths in Europe occur due to CVD, and of all deaths in the age group to 75 years old, 42% related are related to CVD in women and 38% in men [5].

Some decrease in age-standardized mortality rates from CVD was observed in the period from 1970s and 1990s, which was most pronounced in rich developed countries, demonstrating the potential for preventive measures to avoid premature death and to extend healthy life expectancy. The results of the international research project MONICA (Multinational Monitoring of trends and determinants in CArdiovascular disease) were quite demonstrative, and was conducted in 21 countries on four continents over the period 1976–1996 to monitor the trends and determinants of CVD under the aegis of WHO. The following risk factors were explored: smoking, cholesterol, systolic blood pressure and body mass index (BMI). The following dynamics were noted: the reduction of smoking in men, along with an increase in women; some tendency to lower cholesterol, which, however, significantly affected the CVD risk; a tendency to decrease blood pressure, along with a tendency to increase the body mass index, in half of women and two thirds of men.

Also, within the framework of the WHO MONICA project, from mid 1980s to mid 1990s, there was monitoring of the frequency of coronary heart disease (CHD), risk factors and treatment of coronary patients among selected populations in order to obtain an accurate picture of the levels and trends associated with CVD. The most significant reduction in the incidence of CHD in men occurred in three populations in northern Europe: North Karelia and Kuopio in Finland, and in Northern Sweden. An increase in the frequency of CHD was also observed among both male and female population in the countries of Eastern Europe.

The importance and effectiveness of a multifactorial approach to solving this problem was clearly demonstrated by the example of the North Karelia project in Finland. Thus, during the period from 1972 to 2007, the total cholesterol in men in North Karelia decreased from 6.9 mmol/L to 5.4 mmol/L (i.e., 1.5 mmol/L), diastolic blood pressure decreased from 92.6 mmHg to 83.9 mmHg (i.e., by 8.7 mmHg) and the prevalence of
smoking decreased from 51% to 30% (i.e. by 21%). As a result, based on the reduction in diastolic pressure, cholesterol and smoking, overall risk fell by 60%. At the same time, observed mortality from CHD decreased in the same geographical area by 80%.

In 2012, the European Atherosclerosis Society (EAS) once again highlighted the importance of risk factor modification using the results of a meta-analysis of 18 studies involving more than 250,000 men and women aged 55 years and older [7]. It was found that in individuals with optimal profile of risk factors (non-smoking, non-diabetic, with optimal level of cholesterol and blood pressure) enjoyed a more than 3 times reduced risk of major cardiovascular events, more than 6 times reduction in death from CVD, and more than 10 times reduction in the risk of developing CHD. None of the most advanced medical technologies, including interventional and surgical methods of treatment, has this degree of influence on cardiovascular risk.

In Ukraine, according to the latest official statistics, more than 440,000 people died from cardiovascular diseases in 2011, which represents 66.3% of all causes of death, and this figure continues to be one of the highest in the structure of mortality in Europe. Along with the available data on the prevalence in Ukraine of five traditional risk factors, including smoking, hypertension, obesity, dyslipidemia and lack of physical activity, there is no analysis of the new predictors of CVD, the importance of which is emphasized in policy documents published by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the EAS. Firstly, the problems associated with carbohydrate metabolism and especially the prevalence of type 2 diabetes, a recognized equivalent of CHD; visceral fat distribution, not only overweight; hyperuricemia; level of C-reactive protein; thyroid disease, especially due to the accident at the Chernobyl Nuclear Power Plant and a number of other factors.

To solve this problem in 2009 the M.D. Strazhesko Institute of Cardiology, Kiev, Ukraine; the Dnepropetrovsk State Medical Academy, Dnepropetrovsk, Ukraine, and the National Research Centre for Preventive Medicine, Moscow, Russia started a joint Ukraine-Russia project to study 20 risk factors in an urban population of Dnepropetrovsk attending its five polyclinics. It should be noted that a similar protocol study was initiated in Russia in 2007 in multi-centres across five regions of the country. At present, the completed part of the study involves 20 risk factors in the urban population of the city of Cheboksary, the Chuvash Republic of the Russian Federation.

The study protocol included identification and assessment of the following parameters in 1,000 respondents (468 men and 532 women), living in five districts of Dnepropetrovsk, aged 30–69 years:

1. Anthropometric data (height, weight, BMI);
2. Definition of abdominal obesity (waist circumference, hip circumference, and their ratio);
3. Systolic (SBP) and diastolic blood pressure (DBP), a history of hypertension and its treatment;
4. Lipid profile (total (T) cholesterol, LDL cholesterol, very low density lipoprotein (VLDL) cholesterol, high density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol, triglycerides, atherogenic index);
5. Smoking status;
6. Glycemic status (levels of fasting glucose and insulin, insulin sensitivity by the homeostatic model assessment (HOMA) index, history of diabetes);
7. Alcohol intake;
8. Social status (education, marital status);
9. Family history (hypertension, obesity, diabetes, CHD [including anginal], stroke, heart attack in immediate family);
10. Presence of CHD (Rose questionnaire, Minnesota code for electrocardiogram (ECG), including data on left ventricular hypertrophy, myocardial infarction);
11. Presence of cardiac arrhythmias and conduction abnormalities (extrasystole, atrial fibrillation);
12. Presence of heart failure;
13. Level of physical activity;
14. Eating pattern;
15. Levels of anxiety, depression and stress;
16. C-reactive protein level;
17. Uric acid level and urolithiasis history;
18. Presence of comorbidity on the thyroid gland, liver, and kidneys;
19. Presence of menopause in women;
20. Presence of peripheral vascular disease (atherosclerosis of the carotid arteries, atherosclerosis of the lower limbs and/or presence of varicose veins of the lower limbs).

There was a high response from the participants who took part in this project (72%). Consent to examination was given by 1,000 residents of Dnepropetrovsk from 1,388 people initially involved in the survey, which indicates a representative sample. Analysis of the data was conducted by the National Research Centre for Preventive Medicine in Moscow, in accordance with the standards of medical statistics involving the following methods of standardization:

- direct by age in accordance with the WHO MONICA project [11];
• regression – in general linear models (using SAS PROC GLM) [8].

According to the data obtained, we were able to analyze a number of epidemiological characteristics.

**Hypertension**

To analyze the prevalence of hypertension, data on blood pressure exceeding SBP≥140 mmHg and/or DBP≥90 mmHg were taken into account by measuring blood pressure 2 times: on the 1st and 2nd minute of the examination. The existing history of hypertension was also considered, including information on prescriptions for antihypertensive drugs. At the time of the survey, hypertension was diagnosed in 457 respondents (45.7%), including in 16 respondents (1.6%) for whom it was revealed for the first time. Sex distribution of hypertension was found in 37.6% of men and 52.8% of women. A progressive increase in the prevalence with age was noted. Hypertension was found in 31.5% of cases (29.5% men and 34.0% women) in the age group of 30–39 years, 29.8% (28.5% men and 31.5% women) in the age group of 40–49 years, 55.6% (43.6% men and 64.8% women) – followed by almost two-fold increase in the age group of 50–59 years, 68.6% in the age group of 60–69 years (66.0% men and 69.7% women). A distinctive feature of our data is not only an increase in the overall percentage of hypertension prevalence in general population (45.7% vs. 29.3%) and in relevant age groups compared with the epidemiological data obtained earlier, but also a significant prevalence of hypertension among women in all age groups, not previously detected [1,2].

**Dyslipidemias**

Taking into account a need for a full lipid analysis of the surveyed respondents, as well as the fact that most prognostically significant levels of LDL cholesterol in Ukraine are determined by calculation based on the Friedewald formula, we analyzed the levels of total cholesterol >5.0 mmol/L in accordance with the recommendations of the ESC (2007), the Ukrainian Society of Cardiology, (2011) [3], and the International Atherosclerosis Society (2013) [6]. It was found that the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in this urban population is on average 69.6% (62.3% men and 71.8% women), with prevalence increasing with age. An increased prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in men was observed from 56.8% in the age group of 30–39, to 69.8% in the age group of 50–59 years. There is a reduced prevalence of up to 54.3% in the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in the age group of 60–69 years in men. It would be nice to believe that this did not happen due to the exclusion from the analysis of respondents due to increased mortality from CHD in men with hypercholesterolemia in the age range of 50–70 years, however it is not possible to exclude this possibility. There is a steady increase in the prevalence of hypercholesterolemia in women from 45.2% in the age group of 30–39 years to 86.0 % in the age group of 60–69 years.

The prevalence of low HDL cholesterol (<1.0 mmol/L for men and <1.3 mmol/L for women) was not a very specific characteristic for the studied population. Only 18.3% of respondents (10.4% men and 24.6% women) had an average risk factor for CVD in the form of lower HDL cholesterol levels, but for both men and women there was a slight increase of this risk factor with age: from 10.7% to 11.9% in men and from 22.0% to 24.0% in women.

Hypertriglyceridemia (>1.7% mol/L) was found on average in 31.7% of respondents (35.6% men and 26.2% women). For both men and women there was an increase of hypertriglyceridemia with age from 29.0% to 33.8% in men and more rapid in women, from 13.0% to 39.7%.

The prevalence of a high level of the most prognostically significant LDL cholesterol (>3.0 mmol/L) in the studied population was 68.1% (68.1% in men and 66.0% in women), and largely repeated the trend of hypercholesterolemia, which was certainly due to the calculation method. With regard to age, an increase was noted in the prevalence of this risk factor from 65.9% in the age group of 30–39 years to 71.9% in the age group of 50–59 years in men, with some reduction to 64.8% in the age group of 60–69 years. In women, by contrast, there was a steady increase in the prevalence of this risk factor from 43.6% to 75.8% in older age groups.

**Smoking**

The prevalence of smoking in the studied population averaged 24.2% (36.8% men and 13.1% women). There was a decrease of this risk factor with age, from 47.3% in the age group of 30–39 years to 18.1% in the age group of 60–69 years in men and from 20.5% to 5.03% in women. There was a big surprise for researchers to find such high prevalence (5.03%) of smoking in older age group of women (60–69 years), which is associated with the highest risk of CVD in this contingent.

**Overweight and obesity**

According to our data, normal weight with a BMI<25 kg/m² or 18.5–24.9 kg/m² in the studied population represented only 29.3% of the sample, while the total share of overweight and obesity was 70.1%
(69.6% men and 71.0% women). Moreover, in the population, according to BMI definition, overweight (25–29.9 kg/m²) was detected in 42.3% men and 36.4% women, while classes I, II and III obesity was revealed in 20.0%, 6.3% and 1.0% men and 23.4%, 8.7% and 1.4% women, respectively.

In previously conducted epidemiological studies in Ukraine there are no data on the prevalence of abdominal type of obesity, measured by waist circumference. This risk factor is one of a cluster of factors called the metabolic syndrome, and received its mathematical interpretation in the Adult Treatment Panel (ATP) III, 2001 [10] as following measurements of waist circumference: >102 cm for men and >88 cm for women. Nevertheless, the recommendations of the International Diabetes Federation and recommendations for the prevention of CVD of the ESC [2012] [5] have more strict criteria for abdominal obesity as following measurements of waist circumference: >94 cm for men and >80 cm for women. We conducted an analysis using both the first and second values of the measurement. According to the less strict measurement, ATP-III (2001), abdominal obesity was found in 46.8% of the respondents (37.0% men and 56.6% women), while according to the more strict measurement, supported by the ESC (2012), abdominal obesity was found in 72.8% of respondents (62.3% men and 77.3% women). This represents an extremely high prevalence of cardiovascular and cardiometabolic risk not only for CVD, but also the manifestation of diabetes and a variety of metabolic disorders.

**Type 2 diabetes mellitus, impaired glucose tolerance (IGT), insulin resistance (IR)**

Data on the prevalence of diabetes, IGT and IR have not yet been presented in statistical reports in Ukraine. Taking into account the fact that diabetes is recognized throughout the world of cardiology as a CHD equivalent, i.e. this cohort of patients belongs to a group with very high cardiovascular risk, we analyzed glucose and fasting insulin levels, and also the HOMA index for IR in all respondents.

According to the results, the prevalence of all cases of diabetes, including first time identified diabetes, was 8% of the population – about the same proportion among men (7.9%) and women (8.1%). Interesting data was also discovered on the prevalence of IGT and IR. IGT was revealed in nearly one third of all respondents (28.0%), with clear predominance in the male cohort (38.9% vs. 19.1% women). This relationship was observed in all age groups. Thus, in the age group 30–39 years, it was found in 40.1% of men and only in 14.6% of women. With increasing age, the prevalence of IGT in men remained almost constant, whereas in women it increased up to 21.4% in the age group 60–69 years due to lower estrogen, which has a strong antidiabetic effect. At the same time, the prevalence of hyperinsulinemia (>11 μU/kg) and IR, determined by the HOMA index, >2.77, was found in over one third of respondents (41.2%) with a primary detection in women (44.8%) compared with men (37.8%). This predominance was maintained in all age groups. Hyperinsulinemia, observed in the age group 30–39 years (31.9% men and 46.8% women) achieved a prevalence of 46.7% in men and 54.8% in women aged 60–69 years. This fact, together with the high prevalence of abdominal type of obesity in this urban population (46.8% according to the ATP-III (2001) criteria and 72.8% according the ESC (2012) criteria) suggests that the problem of the insulin resistance syndrome or metabolic syndrome, as well as all its associated cardiometabolic disorders, is highly relevant to Ukraine, which may not be fully appreciated by cardiologists.

**Thyropathies**

Thyroid dysfunction has first place in the structure of endocrinopathies in Ukraine. We did not carry out an additional screening of respondents’ hormonal status, only information about previously diagnosed thyroid disease was analyzed. On average in this population, thyropathies had been diagnosed in 8.9% of cases (2.4% men and 14.5% women). This pathology should be considered in clinical and epidemiological developments, firstly, because of the close connection between hypothyroidism and atherogenic dyslipidemia; and, secondly, because of the significant increase in thyroid disorders after the Chernobyl accident.

**Hyperuricemia**

Elevated levels of uric acid in the blood are due to the consumption of foods rich in purines or chronic issues with a diet associated with consuming high calorie and fat foods. Increased levels of uric acid raise the predisposition toward gout and (at a very high level) renal insufficiency, and is also observed in the insulin resistance syndrome. The maximum values for a normal level are 360 μmol/L for women and 400 μmol/L for men. In the studied population, hyperuricemia was observed on average in 17.3% of cases with twice the predominance in the male population (23.0%) compared with female (11.5%).

**Conclusion**

It is difficult to analyze the prevalence of all 20 investigated risk factors in one article. However, as we touched on some of the traditional and identified new factors, we hope to draw attention to the relevance of cardiometabolic risk factors in Ukraine and their relationship to the metabolic syndrome and other cardiometabolic disorders.
predictors of CVD, we can try to characterize the profile of the main cardiovascular risk factors of this urban population in Ukraine (Figure 1). As shown in the diagram, the risk factors analyzed in this publication can be assigned to three main groups according to their degree of prevalence in the adult population. First, the most widespread group of risk factors in the population, represented in about 70% of cases, comprising, in decreasing order: abdominal obesity according to the ESC (2012) criteria; overweight and obesity according to BMI; hypercholesterolemia; and increased LDL cholesterol. The second most common group of risk factors (about 40–45% of the population) comprised: abdominal obesity, identified by the ATP-III (2001) criteria; hypertension; hyperinsulinemia and IR determined by the HOMA index. The third group of CVD risk factors (30% or less in the population), in descending order, comprised: hypertriglyceridemia; IGT; smoking; decreased HDL cholesterol; hyperuricemia and diabetes.

At the final stage of the study, in accordance with the recommendations of the ESC (2012), we tried to determine the prevalence of very high cardiovascular risk in this urban population in Ukraine using all three variations of the Systematic COronary Risk Evaluation (SCORE) scale, presented on-line on the ESC web page, using the scale for high-risk countries like Ukraine: https://escol.escardio.org/heartscore/calc.aspx?model=europehigh

Initially, calculating the number of respondents suffering from CHD (using only objective criteria like electrocardiogram (ECG), myocardial infarction, revascularization in anamnesis), as well as the number of respondents additionally identified with type 2 diabetes without history of coronary artery disease (CAD), which, in accordance with the ESC recommendations, is the equivalent of CAD, we discovered a group of 224 respondents with established very high risk.

During the next step, using the first SCORE1 scale, for which it is necessary to take into account the date of birth, sex, SBP, cholesterol, and smoking status, we further identified an additional 26 respondents. Consequently, using in addition the SCORE1 scale, we identified in the population 224+26=250 respondents at a very high risk.

Using the second SCORE2 scale to determine the risk according to which, in addition to all of the above, it is necessary to consider the level of HDL cholesterol, we got a slight decrease in the high-risk group, compared with the SCORE1 scale, identified in addition to CAD and diabetes, namely, the reduction of HDL cholesterol was noted only in 9 respondents. As we have already mentioned, this risk factor is not the lead in the Ukrainian urban population. Therefore, using the SCORE2 scale, we identified a group at a very high risk only in 224+9=233 respondents.

Using the third SCORE3 scale, to determine the risk in which, besides the date of birth and sex of a respondent, were used the parameters of height and weight to determine their BMI, and smoking status, we identified a further 67 respondents in addition to those with CAD and diabetes. Therefore, in total, using the SCORE3 scale we found a very high risk in 224+67=291 respondents.

![Figure 1. Prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in an urban population in Ukraine](image_url)
Attempting to determine the maximum cohort at a very high risk, we analyzed the possibility of defining this parameter, using all scales simultaneously, i.e. using every opportunity to determine the cohort threatened by the emergence of fatal CVD or SCOREmax, which was 71 respondents. Therefore, when using any possibility to determining SCORE more than 10%, which corresponds to a very high risk, we found it in total in 224+71=295 respondents. That is at the maximum consideration of all possible predictors in an adult urban population in Ukraine at the age of 30–69 years, the cohort with a very high risk of fatal complications comprised about 30% of the population, which, perhaps, is reflected in government statistics on the incidence and mortality of the Ministry of Health of Ukraine.

The results of this research allows us to conclude that there is a serious epidemiological situation with the prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors in the urban population in Ukraine. This may include about 30% of population aged 30–69 years being categorized at a very high risk.

This data should serve as an incentive for large-scale multicenter epidemiological studies, with the support of government organizations, to follow the example of Western countries. This would include a full assessment of the entire population and study of the population aged from 18 to 70 years living in industrialized regions and cities, deprived of large industrial enterprises, to evaluate the situation objectively and carry out appropriate preventive measures.
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Background
Left ventricular (LV) dysfunction is an indication for surgical correction of aortic valve in patients with severe aortic regurgitation (AR). This study sought to determine whether echocardiographic variables before surgery for AR predict postoperative LV dysfunction.
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Methods and Results

We studied 55 patients (20–85 years old, mean age 58 years old) with isolated AR who underwent surgical correction (aortic valve replacement or repair). Echocardiographic studies were performed in preoperative and postoperative (14.3±1.8 months after surgery) periods. The incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction (left ventricular ejection fraction [LVEF] <50%) was 25% (14/55). The incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction was high in patients with preoperative LVEF<50% (11/24, 46%), preoperative LV end-systolic dimension (LVESD) >50mm (6/14, 43%), preoperative LV end-diastolic dimension (LVEDD) >70mm (2/3, 67%), preoperative LVESD normalized to body surface area (LVESD/BSA) ≥25mm/m2 (12/28, 42%). The optimal cutoff value for LVESD/BSA to predict the postoperative normalization of LVEF (LVEF≥50%) was 26.5mm/m2 with a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 70%, whereas LVEDD of 62mm had 64% sensitivity and 71% specificity, LVESD of 47mm had 79% sensitivity and 77% specificity.

Conclusion

In patients with AR, LVEF<50% and/or LVESD/BSA≥26.5mm/m² should be carefully considered for surgical intervention, which reduces the risk of post operative LV dysfunction.
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Current guidelines suggest surgical intervention in severe aortic regurgitation (AR) if there are significant symptoms or at the onset of signs of LV dysfunction, such as an ejection fraction (EF) of ≤50% or significant left ventricular (LV) dilatation with an end-diastolic dimension (EDD) of >70–75 mm and/or end-systolic dimension (ESD) of >50–55 mm [1,2]. Previous studies demonstrated that patients with severe or moderately severe AR and conservative management incur excess mortality compared with expected, excess mortality in patients with severe symptoms, and excess mortality rate in patients asymptomatic with LVEF<55% or LVESD normalized to body surface area ≥25 mm² [2,3–5].

The postoperative outcome for patients with a reduced EF depends on the magnitude of the reduction of EF. These patients generally have an improvement in the EF postoperatively as a result of relief of the high afterload [6,7]. In contrast, LVEF is a powerful predictor of cardiovascular outcome in heart failure patients across a broad spectrum of ventricular function [8,9]. It still remains a clinical dilemma when a physician should recommend surgery to a patient experiencing AR with minimal symptoms in order to preserve the postoperative LV function. The purpose of this study was to determine whether echocardiographic indices before aortic valve surgery are predictive of postoperative LV function and useful for deciding the optimal timing of aortic valve surgery.

Methods

Patients

This study was based on a retrospective review of our experience with aortic valve surgery for isolated AR. The inclusion criteria were (1) surgical correction (repair or replacement) of AR performed between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2005; and (2) immediate postoperative survival allowing for observation of late after surgery. Patients with associated coronary artery bypass graft surgery or ascending aortic surgery were included. The exclusion criteria were (1) moderate to severe aortic stenosis; (2) aortic dissection; (3) previous operation for AR; (4) previous or associated mitral valve replacement (tricuspid valve repair was not excluded); (5) infective endocarditis; and (6) operative death, defined as occurring during the first postoperative month or within the same hospitalization.

Fifty-five patients had aortic valve operations due to isolated severe AR and had echocardiographic studies immediately before surgery and late after surgery (at least 6 months after surgery). Of the 55 patients, the mean age was 58±16 years, 42 (76%) were men, and 11 (20%) were in atrial fibrillation. The cause of AR was defined as degenerative (33 patients), rheumatic (8 patients), aortic root dilatation (6 patients), bicuspid aortic valve (5 patients), and aortic valve prolapse (3 patients). Before surgery, 24 patients were
in New York Heart Association functional class III or class IV. The surgical procedure performed was valve repair in 11 patients and valve replacement in 44 (bioprosthesis in 11 patients and mechanical prosthesis in 30). Coronary artery bypass graft surgery was performed in 4 patients and ascending aortic surgery was performed in 7 patients.

**Echocardiography**

Echocardiographic examinations were performed within 1 month before and late after surgery (at least 6 months after surgery). Before surgery, the degree of AR was determined by color flow Doppler method and 2 quantitative methods: 1) quantitative Doppler using aortic and mitral stroke volumes, allowing calculation of regurgitant volume and regurgitant fraction, and 2) the proximal isovelocity surface area method to calculate ERO area [10]. LV end-diastolic dimensions (LVEDD) and end-systolic dimensions (LVESD) were measured using 2D parasternal long-axis view. LV end-diastolic and end-systolic volumes and EF were measured by the biapical Simpson’s disk method [11].

**Statistical Analysis**

Results are presented as mean ± SD. To determine whether the difference in the values between the 2 groups was statistically significant, a paired t test was performed; the level of significance was set to P<0.05. Receiver-operating curves were generated for comparison of pre-operative echocardiographic indices for discriminating patients with or without LV dysfunction late after aortic valve replacement (AVR).

**Results**

The preoperative and late after surgery (mean 14.8±1.8 months) echocardiographic data of the study patients are displayed in Table 1. After aortic valve surgery, both LVEDD and LVESD decreased significantly. The LVEF was 54±12% before surgery and was 55±12% late after surgery. The incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction (LVEF<50%) was 25 % [14/55].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-operative LVEF</th>
<th>≥50%</th>
<th>&lt;50%</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of patients</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>32 (78)</td>
<td>10 (74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>55±16</td>
<td>65±10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA III-IV (%)</td>
<td>16 (39)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (%)</td>
<td>26 (63)</td>
<td>8 (15)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia (%)</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>4 (15)</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes (%)</td>
<td>5 (11)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine &gt;1.5 mg/dl</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. A comparison of clinical characteristics of patients whose postoperative LVEF≥50% or postoperative LVEF<50%**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medication</th>
<th>Post-operative LVEF</th>
<th>≥50%</th>
<th>&lt;50%</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACEI/ARB (%)</td>
<td>19 (46)</td>
<td>14 (31)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beta-blocker</td>
<td>8 (20)</td>
<td>7 (16)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A comparison of clinical characteristics of patients whose postoperative LVEF≥50% or postoperative LVEF<50% is shown in Table 2. Patients with postoperative LV dysfunction were significantly older than those with postoperative LVEF>50%.

Table 3 shows the incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction according to the preoperative echocardiographic indices. The incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction was high in patients with preoperative LVEF<50% (11/24, 46%), preoperative LVESD>50 mm (6/14, 43%), preoperative LVEDD>70 mm (2/3, 67%), LVESD/BSA≥25mm/m² (12/28, 42%). On univariate analysis, the preoperative LVEF (r=0.61, P<0.0001, Figure 1), LVESD (r=–0.29, P=0.02, Figure 2) and LVESD/BSA (r=–0.48, P<0.0001, Figure 3) were predictive of postoperative LVEF. The optimal cutoff value for LVESD/BSA to predict the postoperative LV dysfunction was 26.5mm/m² with a sensitivity of 86%.

**Table 3. Incidence of postoperative LVEF<50% according to the preoperative echocardiographic indices**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Post-operative LVEF</th>
<th>≥50%</th>
<th>&lt;50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative LVEF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF≥50%</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>3 (10%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEF&lt;50%</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11 (46%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative LVEDD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD&gt;70 mm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2 (67%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVEDD&lt;70 mm</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>12 (23%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative LVESD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD&gt;50 mm</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6 (43%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD&lt;50 mm</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8 (20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative LVESD/BSA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD/BSA&gt;25 mm/m²</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12 (42%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVESD/BSA&lt;25 mm/m²</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2 (7%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and a specificity of 70%, whereas LVEDD of 62 mm had 64% sensitivity and 71% specificity and LVESD of 47 mm had 79% sensitivity and 77% specificity.

**Discussion**

The timing of surgical correction for AR has traditionally been relied on symptoms or indexes of LV size or function associated with poor outcome. LVEF is an important predictor of cardiovascular outcomes in a broad spectrum of patients with heart failure [8]. Thus, to determine the timing, we must take into consideration not only postoperative survival but also the incidence of postoperative LV dysfunction. We found that an LVEF of 50% and LVESV of 47 mm or LVESD/BSA of 26.5 mm/m² identified Japanese patients with a higher risk of postoperative LV dysfunction. The values of LVESD were lower than those recommended in the American College of Cardiology and the American Heart Association and the European Society of Cardiology guidelines [1,2]. These echocardiographic measures in Japanese patients may not be applied directly to patients in other countries, because the normal size of the heart is different [12].

Previous studies demonstrated that after surgery for AR, women exhibit an excess late mortality. The generalization to women of the unadjusted LV diameter surgical criteria established in men results in irrelevant criteria almost never reached in women [13,14]. This undoubtedly was related to the fact that women have smaller body sizes. Recent study has demonstrated that asymptomatic patients with severe AR and LV end-systolic volume index ≥45 ml/m² had higher cardiac event rates and surgery for AR reduced cardiac events [15]. These results emphasize the importance of normalization of LV size to body size in patients with AR.

A preoperative LVEF<50% is associated with a poor postoperative LV function and, despite the controversy about the prognostic usefulness of LV variables [13], should remain an indicator for surgical correction of AR. When the LVEF is reduced preoperatively because of increased afterload with preserved contractile function, the decrease in afterload and wall stress leads to an improvement in LVEF and this mechanism may explain the favorable effects of aortic valve replacement on LVEF [16–18]. However, in some patients, the persistent LV damage after aortic valve replacement is presumably resulting from irreversible myocardial contractile dysfunction before AVR. These findings indicated the need for surgical intervention for AR before the development of irreversible myocardial damage. In this study, LVESD normalized to

![Figure 1. Relationship between preoperative and postoperative left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF). The preoperative EF<50% is predictive of postoperative LV dysfunction](image1)

![Figure 2. Relationship between preoperative left ventricular (LV) end-systolic dimension (ESD) and postoperative LV ejection fraction (EF). The preoperative ESD>47 mm is predictive of postoperative LV dysfunction](image2)

![Figure 3. Relationship between preoperative left ventricular (LV) end-systolic dimension (ESD) normalized to body surface area (BSA) and postoperative LV ejection fraction (EF). The preoperative ESD/BSA>26.5 mm is predictive of postoperative LV dysfunction](image3)
body surface area manifested as excessive LV dilatation raises the concern that irreversible LV contractile dysfunction might have already occurred. Although there is no ideal clinical measure of ventricular contractility, end-systolic indices are less load dependent than diastolic or ejection phase measurements [19].

**Study Limitations**

We defined LV dysfunction as LVEF<50%. However, postoperative LV performance is not determined by LVEF alone. We studied postoperative LVEF, which was easy to measure, but it is only aspect of LV performance. We did not take into account the effect of medications. Recent studies including the experimental study demonstrated that unloading therapy or beta-blocker therapy has a beneficial effect on LV remodeling and function [20,21]. Long-term vasodilator therapy with nifedipine or enalapril, however, did not reduce or delay the need for AVR in patients with asymptomatic severe AR [22]. The possible benefit of medical treatment still remains a matter of controversy and further study is needed to clarify this problem. It might be wondered if newer prostheses or surgical advances could, in the future, decrease the incidence of late postoperative LV dysfunction.

**Conclusion**

In Japanese patients with severe AR, echocardiographic parameters of LVEF and LVESD are good predictors of postoperative LV dysfunction and useful as objective markers to decide the timing of surgery. LVEF<50% and/or LVESD/BSA≥26.5 mm/m² should be carefully considered for surgical intervention, which reduces the risk of postoperative LV dysfunction.
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Background
Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a leading cause of mortality and morbidity in the Iranian population. Interaction between genetic and environmental factors determines susceptibility of an individual to develop CAD. Nitric oxide (NO) is an important endogenous vasodilator that is produced by endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) from L-arginine in endothelial and plays a critical role in the regulation of cardiovascular homeostasis.

Objective
The purpose of this study was to analyze the eNOS C786T polymorphism in CAD.

Materials and Methods
The study included 213 patients and 106 controls. eNOS rs41322052 polymorphism was genotyped using PCR-RFLP protocol.

Results
Previous eNOS T786C polymorphism studies suggested this polymorphism has an important role in cardiovascular disorders and especially in its association with the risk of CAD. We determined the prevalence of eNOS T786C polymorphism in healthy volunteers from an Iranian population and in patients suffering from CAD. Distribution

* Corresponding author. Tel/Fax: +98-21-22663213. E-mail: hjam1358@yahoo.co.uk
of genotypes CC was around 100% for patients and control groups, so the C allele was not the susceptible allele for CAD subjects in this study.

Conclusion
According to the current study, there were no significant differences in endothelial nitric oxide synthase gene C786T polymorphism between healthy volunteers and patients with CAD. Therefore, genetic variation in eNOS may not contribute to etiology and risk of CAD.
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Introduction
Coronary artery disease (CAD) is one of the leading causes of death worldwide. Many epidemiological studies show that both environmental and genetic factors have influence, but genetic factors play a more important role in susceptibility to CAD [2,1].

It is clear that endothelial cells play a critical role in the progression and clinical manifestation of the atherosclerotic process [3,4]. One of the most important products of endothelial cells is nitric oxide (NO), a major mediator of endothelium dependent vasodilation made in the endothelial cells from L-arginine through the action of the homodimeric enzyme endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS). In addition to vasodilation, NO inhibits platelet aggregation, proliferation of vascular smooth muscle cells, and leukocyte adhesion to endothelial cells [5,6]. eNOS may have an atheroprotective role by these functions [7].

Nitric oxide production can be influenced by polymorphisms of the eNOS gene. The gene is located on chromosome 7q35–36 [8]. The eNOS gene is expressed and functionally regulated through multiple regulatory steps [9,10] and also by several polymorphisms [11]. The substitution of T to C nucleotide at position 786 in the 5'flanking region of eNOS gene, leading to reduce of promoter activity of this gene, is associated with CAD [12].

The purpose of the present study was to assess the association of genetic variants of eNOS 786C>T (rs41322052) polymorphism with the risk of CAD.

Materials and methods
A total of 319 subjects including 213 patients with CAD and 106 controls participated in this study.

The inclusion criteria for the patients were: [1] age at the time of CAD diagnosis: 55 years or younger in men and 65 years or younger in women; and, [2] at least 50% stenosis in a major coronary artery, or one of their branches, as determined by angiography. The extent of the disease was defined according to the number of arteries with a minimum of 50% stenosis, whether in a single vessel or in multiple vessels. Diagnosis of myocardial infarction (MI) was confirmed through patients’ records using the World Health Organization (WHO) criteria [13] based on symptoms, elevation in cardiac enzymes or electrocardiographic changes.

All patients provided information about coronary risk factors such as diabetes mellitus, hypertension, hypercholesterolemia and cigarette smoking. Triglycerides, total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein (HDL) and low-density lipoprotein (LDL) levels were measured by conventional methods of clinical chemistry. Hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure equal to or greater than 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure equal to or greater than 90 mmHg on more than one occasion. Patients with a history of diabetes or basal glycaemia higher than 120 mg/dL were defined as diabetics.

Genotyping
Genomic DNA was extracted from 10 ml of EDTA anticoagulated peripheral blood leucocytes using salting out method.

Screening for the eNOS 786C>T polymorphism was performed by polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method. The primers used were 5'-TGGAGAGTGCTGGTGTACCCCA -3' (forward) and 5'-GCCTCCACCCCCACCCTGTC -3' (reverse).

DNA is amplified for 40 cycles, each cycle comprising denaturation at 94 °C for 1 min, annealing at 62 °C for 1 min, extension at 70 °C for 1 min with final extension time of 5 min at 70 °C. The initial denaturation stage was carried out at 95 °C for 7 min. PCR products were digested with the restriction enzyme BsmAI at 37 °C overnight. In the presence of C
at nucleotide 786, the 180 base pair (bp) PCR product is cleaved into two fragments of 120 and 60 bp. The PCR products are separated on 8% acrylamid gel (Figure 1).

The validity of this PCR-RFLP analysis was confirmed by direct sequencing of several PCR samples with each genotype (Figure 2).

**Results**

The CAD patient group had a higher prevalence of hypertension, diabetes, smoking and family history of premature CAD compared with the controls. The patients also had higher body mass index (BMI), total cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides levels. According to our results, family history, hypertension, diabetes, smoking, obesity, high total cholesterol, LDL and triglycerides levels and low HDL significantly increased risk of CAD.

Distribution of CC genotypes was around 100% between patients and control groups.

**Discussion**

Recently, several studies revealed that there were various mutations on eNOS gene and these mutations might be a risk factor for CAD, MI, and hypertension. They also found that these polymorphisms differed largely among races due to large differences in the linkage pattern of −786T/C, Glu298Asp, and 4a/4b polymorphisms of eNOS among races. In this study, we investigated the relationship between T786C mutation of eNOS gene and CAD for first time in the Iranian population.

Our results demonstrated no association between C allele and CAD in the Iranian population.

A study carried out in Caucasian patients reported that eNOS (T786C) gene polymorphism is a major risk factor for CAD in this population [14]. Masafumi Nakayama et al. showed that an association between this gene polymorphism reduces the endothelial NO synthesis and predisposes the patients with the mutation to coronary spasm in a Japanese population [12].

Çiftçi et al. examination of a Turkish population indicated significantly high frequency of eNOS -786C/C genotype in acute coronary syndrome (ACS) patients than in those of controls that indicated genotype association between eNOS (786C/C) with ACS. In addition, the finding of significantly high frequency of T/T genotype in the coronary heart disease (CHD) group may support the relationship of CC genotype with ACS without CHD [15].
Genetic polymorphism of endothelial nitric oxide synthase in coronary artery disease

There are no more studies on this polymorphism in other populations. Future studies should seek more single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in our population and create a panel of SNPs which can be used as genetic risk markers.

In the present study, the eNOS C786T polymorphism seems to have no significant association with the risk of CAD in our patients.
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Objective
Scheduled exercise programmes improve cardiovascular risk profile. However, long-term attendance in these programmes is extremely low. In this study, we aimed to investigate the association between habitual daily activity levels of the subjects and their cardiovascular risk profile with particular attention to blood pressure (BP) levels.

Materials and Methods
292 subjects were enrolled in the study. All of the subjects completed the International Physical Activity Questionnaire. Their cardiovascular risk profile and BP levels were also recorded. Subjects were divided into 3 subgroups according to their weekly total metabolic equivalent count as low, moderate and high activity groups. Comparison of these three groups with regard to cardiovascular risk status and BP levels was performed. The effect of physical activity level on BP control was also assessed.

Results
The numbers of subjects with low, moderate and high exercise level were 154, 91 and 47 respectively. Two hundred and thirty subjects were hypertensive and 105 of them had uncontrolled hypertension. The cardiovascular risk status and BP levels did not differ among low, moderate and high activity groups. Among the hypertensive...
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population, those with uncontrolled hypertension were significantly less active those with controlled hyperten-
sion.

Conclusion
Blood pressure control in this hypertensive population was found to be associated with their weekly physical activity levels. This finding is important to highlight the effects of daily lifestyles on cardiovascular outcomes.

Key words
Hypertension, physical activity, sedentary life, blood pressure control

Introduction
Economic development and modern technology have simplified life for humanity. In the modern world, we have reduced use of our muscles and generally replaced many of our basic functions with machines. We invest much mental effort in how we can walk less (escalators, elevators, conveyor belts, etc.).

Sedentary lifestyle is known to be related to hypertension, hypercholesterolemia, atherosclerosis and atherosclerosis-related cardiovascular diseases [1]. Regular physical activity can reduce cardiovascular risk. Some studies show the effect of physical activity in reducing blood cholesterol levels and BP [2,3]. Success of scheduled programmes and induced physical activities has been demonstrated in previous studies [4,5]. However, each person has different daily physical activity in normal life and it is not well established whether the BP levels differ according to daily physical activity of individuals in the absence of any scheduled programme.

Hypertension is one of the leading causes of death in the world. Its prevalence in Turkey is 31.8% [6]. Achieving optimal control of high BP is difficult. Usually more than two drugs are needed to control BP [7]. Among patients on antihypertensive therapy, only 20% of patients have controlled BP. The ratio of controlled BP in general population is only 8% [6].

In this cross-sectional study, we aimed to investigate whether the weekly physical activity status of patients has an impact on BP control. Additionally, we aimed to determine the physical activity status and ratio of antihypertensive drugs usage in our geographical area.

Methods
The study was conducted in Balcova, Izmir, which is an urban area at the western site of Turkey. A total of 340 subjects were examined for this study; 190 of those through clinic visits and 150 through home visits. After excluding ineligible subjects due to inadequate medical information, 292 subjects were enrolled in the study. Home visits were conducted at different times of a day. Cases for home visits were selected from local elective lists via a random numbers method. Educated medical school students and two investigators (Şimşek MA, Kangül H) did home visits. Hypertensive to normotensive volunteer ratio was planned as 3/1. All subjects gave informed consent and the study protocol was approved by our Institutional Review Board.

Inclusion criteria:
• People older than 18 years old
• Hypertensive patients (according to the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee (JNC 7): mean systolic BP≥140 mmHg or mean diastolic BP≥90 mmHg, or previously diagnosed and/or taking antihypertensive drugs) [8].

Exclusion criteria:
• People with scheduled sportive programme
• Physical disability
• Answering the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) inappropriately
• Active systemic disease

Blood pressure was measured according to the JNC­7 recommendations with aneroid sphygmomanometer (Riester, Rudolf Reister GmbH&Co, Jungingen, Germany). Validated short Turkish version of IPAQ was used to measure weekly physical activity [9]. Mean weekly physical activity was calculated as metabolic equivalent (MET) for each person. Less than 600 MET was defined as low physical activity level; 600–1500 MET as moderate level; and, more than 1500 MET as high level.

Statistical Analysis
SPSS statistical software (SPSS for Windows 15.0, Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical calculations. Continuous variables were given as mean ± SD, and categorical variables were defined as a percentage. Differences between groups were tested using
one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA), t-test and χ² test when appropriate. Pearson correlation was used to evaluate the association between the parameters. Statistical significance was defined as $P<0.05$.

Results

230 hypertensive and 62 normotensive volunteers were enrolled in this study. The mean age of total study population was 56.5±13.5 (19–86). Among the entire studied group, 154 (52.7%) were female; 59 (20.2%) were diabetic; 101 (34.6%) were hyperlipidemic; and, 95 (32.5%) were active smokers. Mean body mass index (BMI) of the 292 subjects was 27.8±4.4 (16.5–41.0). Both hypertensive and normotensive volunteers have comparable physical activities but normotensive ones were significantly younger and thinner (Table 1).

Hypertensive patients were divided into two groups according to the presence of optimal BP control. One hundred and twenty five patients with BP<140/90 mmHg were accepted as regulated and 105 patients with BP≥140/90 mmHg as unregulated. The regulated group was younger than unregulated but the difference was not significant. Weekly physical activity of regulated group was significantly higher than unregulated. Regulated group has significantly lower BMI than unregulated (Table 2).

Systolic and diastolic BP was analyzed for correlation with weekly physical activity level. Both have opposite relations with weekly physical activity level; however, the correlations were not significant (Table 3).

The studied population was divided into 3 groups, according to their weekly physical activity, as low, moderate and high. There were no significant differences between groups in respect of systolic and diastolic BP (Table 4). Among the hypertensive population, the rates of controlled BP levels were also similar between groups (Table 5).

Antihypertensive drug usage was applicable in 199 of 230 hypertensive patients. The ratio of antihypertensive drugs is shown in Table 6. Sixty nine patients were on beta-blockers and 130 were not. Patients taking beta-blockers had less weekly physical activ-

Table 1. Physical activity, age and BMI of hypertensive and normotensive volunteers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hypertensive (n=230)</th>
<th>Normotensive (n=62)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (MET)</td>
<td>995.7 ± 1206.2</td>
<td>712.3 ± 990.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years old)</td>
<td>59.1 ± 12.0</td>
<td>47.1 ± 14.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>28.4 ± 4.4</td>
<td>25.8 ± 4.0</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Physical activity, age and BMI of regulated and unregulated groups in hypertensive patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Regulated (n=125)</th>
<th>Unregulated (n=105)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity (MET)</td>
<td>1099.4 ± 1408.4</td>
<td>784.8 ± 732.6</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years old)</td>
<td>57.7 ± 12.7</td>
<td>60.7 ± 10.9</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>27.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>29.4 ± 4.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Correlation of systolic and diastolic blood pressures with weekly physical activity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>R</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hypertensive patients (n=230)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All cases (n=292)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>−0.90</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>−0.92</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Systolic and diastolic blood pressures. Difference between low, moderate and high physical activity groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Low (n=120)</th>
<th>Moderate (n=70)</th>
<th>High (n=40)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132.3 ± 16.7</td>
<td>134.8 ± 17.7</td>
<td>137.7 ± 18.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 10.9</td>
<td>79.5 ± 9.9</td>
<td>81.6 ± 10.4</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5. Ratio of patients with regulated and unregulated blood pressure between low, moderate and high physical activity groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unregulated (n=120)</th>
<th>Regulated (n=70)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>132.3 ± 16.7</td>
<td>134.8 ± 17.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>78.6 ± 10.9</td>
<td>79.5 ± 9.9</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6. Antihypertensive drug usage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antihypertensive drugs</th>
<th>Patients number (n=199)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACEI</td>
<td>30 (15.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI + D</td>
<td>13 (6.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB</td>
<td>44 (22.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB + D</td>
<td>38 (19.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB</td>
<td>69 (34.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCB</td>
<td>38 (19.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARB + CCB</td>
<td>6 (3.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACEI + CCB</td>
<td>1 (0.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>9 (4.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>4 (2.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* There was no patient with beta blocker + diuretic. Some patients use more than one drug.

ACEI = angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitor; D = diuretic; ARB = angiotensin receptor blocker; BB = beta blocker; CCB = calcium channel blocker; AB = alpha blocker.
ity than others but the difference was not significant [952.5±1191.3 vs. 1022.0±1221.8, \( P=0.70 \)].

**Discussion**

This study examined the relation between BP and weekly physical activity level obtained via IPAQ. There was no significant difference between hypertensive and normotensive volunteers with regard to their physical activity status. However, in the hypertensive group, physical activity level was found to be associated with BP control. Among hypertensive patients, the ones with optimal BP control were significantly more active than the patients with unregulated hypertension.

We found that normotensive patients were significantly younger than hypertensive. This was not an unexpected finding as the prevalence of hypertension increases with aging [6]. Although the BMI in normotensive patients was significantly lower than in hypertensive, their weekly physical activity level was surprisingly low. It is speculated that, as human metabolism gets slower with aging, the elderly people who have higher BP, BMI, cholesterol, etc. try to apply the offered lifestyle changes, causing them to be more active than they were.

When we focused on the hypertensive group, the weekly physical activity level of patients with regulated BP was significantly higher than of unregulated ones. Their BMI was significantly lower than unregulated patients, as expected. We have previously demonstrated that arterial stiffness was associated with resistant hypertension [10]. Arterial stiffness is known to be a primary reason for increased cardiac afterload and unregulated BP in the elderly. Shibata and co-workers demonstrated that aortic stiffening was not improved even after 1 year of progressive endurance exercise training in elderly patients, while left ventricular afterload was reduced [5]. Scheduled exercise programmes can improve some cardiovascular outcomes, but healthy aging can be related more with basal physical activity status of person than with scheduled exercise programmes. So we think that, increased basal physical activity yielded a better BP control probably due to an improvement in arterial stiffness. Although sedentary behaviours are closely associated with mortality, moderate-vigorous physical activity does not fully mitigate cardiovascular risks associated with sedentary life [1]. Our understanding is that, improvement of a sedentary lifestyle via basic lifestyle changes could be more effective than scheduled exercise programmes in decreasing cardiovascular risks. The more that people increase activity in their daily lives, the better their cardiovascular risk profile. To make certain conclusions, optimal energy expenditure via daily activities should be defined more clearly [11].

When the whole studied population was divided into 3 subgroups according to weekly physical activity level as low, moderate and high, no significant difference was found between the groups with regard to systolic and diastolic BP. The percentages of patients with unregulated hypertension were also not statistically different among these 3 groups. Quantification of physical activity level of the groups was achieved according to a MET value criteria recommended by IPAQ. However, categorizing the physical activity via this classification may not be as valuable as the total MET count. Celis-Morales and co-workers compared the accelerometer and IPAQ. Although they found an over-reporting of physical activity with IPAQ, many data proved the validity of IPAQ [12].

In our population, beta-blockers and rennin-angiotensin system blockers were the most frequently used antihypertensive drugs. Another interesting finding of our study was that there was no significant physical activity difference between the patients with and without beta-blockers. Although beta-blocker therapy is a well-known cause of decreased functional status, this was not the case in our study group.

In conclusion, this study illustrates how minor changes in sedentary lifestyles can cause better BP control. It is not always mandatory to apply strict exercise programmes to improve the cardiovascular risk profile. As long-term attendance to scheduled physical training is extremely low among patients, it is better to increase the physical activity level through habitual alterations of patients’ daily lives. For assessment and quantification of the patients’ physical activity level, IPAQ is a simple and valid tool. However, it depends on the patient’s self-reporting and no definitive threshold level exists to define if a patient is physically active or not. More data are needed for optimal assessment of patients’ physical activity level and for defining the targets.

**Limitations**

The parameters assessed in this study, other than the measured BP levels, are based on patients self-reporting. Almost half of the patients were evaluated via clinical visits. Thus, a ‘white coat’ effect cannot be excluded in this population. The relatively small number of normotensive patients and mismatched characteristics of the normotensive and hypertensive patients can limit the accuracy of the comparison be-
between these groups with regard to their physical activity status. However, this study was not designed for such a distinct purpose and therefore we did not seek to make the groups matched. In the hypertensive population, BP control was evaluated according to the measured BP levels. Variables, other than physical activity status, were not considered when assessing the level of BP control.
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Summary
A clinical analysis was conducted of a patient who developed infective endocarditis after percutaneous coronary intervention with stenting of the right coronary artery. Despite a large amount of vegetation on the aortic valve (23 mm), it underwent a complete regression within 22 days. In addition, an interesting fact was the absence of prior aortic valve lesions, suggesting iatrogenic aortic insufficiency developed in this patient, and infective endocarditis.
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Infective endocarditis is a dangerous and severe inflammatory disease of the endocardium with a septic course. The disease most commonly affects the endocardium of modified valves and intracardiac defects of the heart. In addition, in the literature there are quite a lot of data on inflammatory changes of the endocardium in the area adjoining implantable endocardial electrodes [1]. Some interventional cardiologists believe that there is a possibility of developing bacterial endocarditis immediately after an invasive procedure, although there are limited conclusive data on this assumption in the available sources of literature [2]. There is some evidence that bacterial endocarditis involves unaffected heart valves [3]. In this regard, this case may be of some clinical and scientific interest.

Patient M, 61 years old, was admitted to the Cardiology Department of the Central Hospital of Oilmen (CHO) on 4 August 2012, complaining of fever, malaise, general weakness, pain in the lower back and left knee. Twenty years ago, during prophylactic examination in the Scientific-Research Institute of Cardiology named after J. Abdullayev, Baku, Azerbaijan, pathological changes in electrocardiogram (ECG) in the form of negative T waves (v1-v4) were found. The veloergometry conducted at the time had negative results, negative T waves in the ECG during exercise, which underwent reversion and became positive. The tolerance to the load was high, no subjective sensations during the test were observed. There were no echocardiographic signs of structural changes in the heart and valves. All that time, the patient main-
tained daily activity. His work had often been associated with physical exercise, without any discomfort in the heart. Two years ago, he first experienced a rise in blood pressure (BP) up to 180/100 mmHg. He was prescribed a fixed-dose maintenance therapy with lisinopril and hypothyroidism after consultation at the Scientific-Research Institute of Cardiology. After this prescription, his condition was stable for a long time and BP readings remained within the target values. Once a year, the patient had a medical check-up in the Outpatient Department of the CHO.

Three months earlier, 11 May 2012, after emotional stress, the patient felt a searing pain in the chest, weakness, periodical cold sweat with an episode of short-term loss of consciousness. Due to the progressive deterioration, he was admitted to the Cardiology Department of the CHO with a diagnosis of developing acute lower myocardial infarction. X-ray examination showed a moderate expansion of the heart’s shadow to the left. Echocardiogram revealed that systolic and diastolic dimensions and function of the left ventricle (LV) were normal; there was a moderate concentric LV hypertrophy. Laboratory tests detected the dynamics of troponin I going from 0.44 ng/mL to 10.19 ng/mL. Other indicators of the laboratory and instrumental methods were normal. During coronary angiography he was diagnosed with coronary subtotal occlusion of the right coronary artery, and stenting of infarct-related coronary artery was conducted. Three days later, he had a sub-febrile temperature, which normalized on the fourth day after intramuscular injection of ceftriaxone 1.0g per day. The patient was discharged from hospital in satisfactory condition a week after admission.

In spite of regular check-ups in the Outpatient Department of the CHO, after 1.5 months, 4 July 2012, his temperature started to rise, increasing to 38.8°C accompanied by strong chills. A total blood test showed increased erythrocyte sedimentation rate to 66 mm/h, C-protein levels to 39.8 mg/L (normal <3.1 mg/L), moderate monocytosis and anemia. Repeat blood cultures for sterility were negative. An ECG showed signs of myocardial infarction in the form of scarring of the lower wall of the LV myocardium. X-ray examination of the chest did not show any pathology, there was a slight enlargement of the heart to the left. An ultrasound examination of the internal organs: the liver, gallbladder, pancreas, urinary bladder and prostate showed that everything was in normal range; the kidneys had visible salt crystals. Echocardiography: systolic and diastolic dimensions and systolic function of LV were normal; ejection fraction - 60%; segmental function of LV walls – normal; aortic valve cusps had visible small single vegetation. The patient was re-admitted to the CHO with a diagnosis of subacute infective endocarditis. Despite the conducted forced comprehensive treatment with adequate doses of antibiotics, the vegetation on the aortic valve was progressively increasing and, by the 12th day, it reached 23 mm. This size of vegetation persisted up to 20 days, but then regression to 17 mm was recorded on day 25, 14 mm on day 28, and 7.5 mm on day 34 of the treatment (Figure 1). Contractile function of the myocardium and the size of the heart chambers during inpatient treatment remained within normal limits, although the echocardiography had signs of moderate aortic valve insufficiency of II degree. Echocardiography, performed on the 42nd day of inpatient treatment, showed a dense calcified area on the site of vegetation. The patient was discharged home in a satisfactory condition. Over two weeks he continued to take rifampicin and fluconazole. Currently, his condition is satisfactory and his ability to work has been completely restored. Ventricular extrasystoles of II graduation (according to Lown classification) are periodically observed. Hemodynamic signs of aortic valve insufficiency of II degree can still be seen on echocardiography. He takes regularly statins, aspirin, clopidogrel, ACE inhibitors, amiodarone, and has periodical check-ups in the Outpatient Departments of the CHO and Scientific-Research Institute of Cardiology named after J.Abdullayev.

The above data suggest that the patient has no previous signs of aortic insufficiency, as he repeatedly underwent complete preventive examination prior to the disease. According to the patient’s history, he suffered from rheumatism in his youth, but the previous echocardiographic studies had not detected the presence of valvular heart disease, including aortic insufficiency. An interesting fact is that the LV dimensions remained in normal range, which is not typical for the long-term pre-aortic regurgitation; in other words, the problems emerged immediately after percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI). We can assume that PCI has contributed to traumatic injury of the aortic valve cusps and the appearance of moderate regurgitation of blood from the aorta, which was seen on echocardiography as aortic valve insufficiency of II degree. The genesis for the temperature [37-38°C], which appeared on the third day after PCI and lasted for 5 days, is not clear. The temperature became normal only after parenteral administration of the anti-
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biotic. It is possible that during the procedure infection was primarily localized on the injured aortic valve cusp.

Thus, the analysis of this clinical case suggests the development of iatrogenic infective endocarditis. Perhaps such clinical situations require much closer analysis. If there is a need for an invasive intervention, interventional cardiologists should consider the potential for development of such complications.
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